UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,991	10/13/2005	Yoshiaki Sato	2660.0010C (SUZ0022-US)	5832
92270 Edell, Shapiro &	7590 12/21/201 & Finnan LLC	EXAMINER		
1901 Research		BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE		
Suite 400 Rockville, MD	20850	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,991	SATO, YOSHIAKI		
Examiner	A 4 1 4		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	_					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED MITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	E below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection of the following re		imaly filed amandmar	at concoling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		r be entered and an e.	xpianation oi			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.5,8 and 9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over the entered because the affidavit or other evidence. 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/TODD E. MANAHAN/	// D /					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3776	/L. B./ Examiner, A rt Unit 3734					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Claim 1, Applicant argues that tube 25 of Just'992 is not stretchable. Examiner agrees with Applicant's assessment, however, the claim language states that the hollow, tight fitting band is stretchable, not necessarily the tube, which is recited as a part of the band. Since at least part of the band (which the rejection identifies as elements 25 AND 30) is stretchable (since element 30 is identified as being stretchable), the band is stretchable.

Regarding Claim 5, Applicant argues that materials 102 and 104 of Yavnai'825 are air-tight volumes and air does not move into or out of materials 102 and 104. The rejection clearly states that the band 100 is made up of a tube (comprised of sheets of material 102 and 104). Materials 102 and 104 make up the inner and outer layers of the band and are secured as described in paragraphs [0086], [0087], [0088] to create an inflatable object. Paragraph [0088] clearly states that the object created by inner and outer sheets 102, 104 and secured as described in paragraph [0086], [0087] are inflatable, as required by Claim 5. In light of this, it is not clear to Examiner how Yavnai'825 is not relevant to Claim 5.